IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

ERIK GARCIA,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	CIVIL ACTION
RANGEL KITCHEN, INC., d/b/a)	
IGUANAS RANAS and)	
MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P.,)	FILE No.
)	
Defendants.)	

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, ERIK GARCIA, by and through the undersigned counsel, and files this, his Complaint against Defendants, RANGEL KITCHEN, INC., d/b/a IGUANAS RANAS and MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P., pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.* ("ADA") and the ADA's Accessibility Guidelines, 28 C.F.R. Part 36 ("ADAAG"). In support thereof, Plaintiff respectfully shows this Court as follows:

JURISDICTION

1. This Court has original jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 for Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*, based upon Defendants' failure to remove physical barriers to access and violations of Title III of the ADA.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff, ERIK GARCIA (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), is, and has been at all times relevant to the instant matter, a natural person residing in Houston, Texas (Harris County).
 - 3. Plaintiff is disabled as defined by the ADA.
- 4. Plaintiff is required to traverse in a wheelchair and is substantially limited in performing one or more major life activities, including but not limited to: walking, standing,

grabbing, grasping and/or pinching.

- 5. Plaintiff uses a wheelchair for mobility purposes.
- 6. Plaintiff is also an independent advocate of the rights of similarly situated disabled persons and is a "tester" for the purpose of enforcing Plaintiff's civil rights, monitoring, determining and ensuring whether places of public accommodation are in compliance with the ADA. His motivation to return to a location, in part, stems from a desire to utilize ADA litigation to make Plaintiff's community more accessible for Plaintiff and others; and pledges to do whatever is necessary to create the requisite standing to confer jurisdiction upon this Court so an injunction can be issued correcting the numerous ADA violations on this property, including returning to the Property as soon as it is accessible ("Advocacy Purposes").
- 7. Defendant, RANGEL KITCHEN, INC., d/b/a IGUANAS RANAS (hereinafter "RANGEL KITCHEN, INC., d/b/a IGUANAS RANAS"), is a Texas company that transacts business in the State of Texas and within this judicial district.
- 8. RANGEL KITCHEN, INC., d/b/a IGUANAS RANAS may be properly served with process via its registered agent for service, to wit: Sergio Rangel, Registered Agent, 8807 Huntersfield Lane, La Porte, TX 77571.
- 9. Defendant, MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P. (hereinafter "MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P."), is a Texas limited partnership that transacts business in the State of Texas and within this judicial district.
- 10. MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P. may be properly served with process via its registered agent for service, to wit: Moshe Allon, Registered Agent, 6 Waterford Oaks Lane, Kemah, TX 77565.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. On or about February 2, 2019, Plaintiff was a customer at "Iguanas Ranas Cantina," a business located at 2900 E. Nasa Pkwy, Houston, TX 77058, referenced herein as the "Iguanas Ranas Cantina."
- 12. RANGEL KITCHEN, INC., d/b/a IGUANAS RANAS is the lessee or sub-lessee of the real property and improvements that are the subject of this action.
- 13. MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P. is the owner or co-owner of the real property and improvements that Iguanas Ranas Cantina is situated upon and that is the subject of this action, referenced herein as the "Property."
 - 14. Plaintiff lives only 5 miles from Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property.
- 15. Plaintiff's access to the business(es) located at 2900 E. Nasa Pkwy, Houston, TX 77058, Harris County Property Appraiser's account number 1261090010001 ("the Property"), and/or full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, foods, drinks, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein were denied and/or limited because of his disabilities, and he will be denied and/or limited in the future unless and until Defendants, RANGEL KITCHEN, INC., d/b/a IGUANAS RANAS and MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P., are compelled to remove the physical barriers to access and correct the ADA violations that exist at Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property, including those set forth in this Complaint.
- 16. Plaintiff has visited Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property at least once before as a customer and advocate for the disabled. Plaintiff intends on revisiting Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property within six months or sooner, as soon as the barriers to access detailed in this Complaint are removed and Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property are accessible again. The purpose of the revisit is to be a regular customer, to determine if and when Iguanas Ranas

Cantina and the Property are made accessible and to maintain standing for this lawsuit for Advocacy Purposes.

- 17. Plaintiff intends on revisiting Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property to purchase goods and/or services as a regular customer living in the near vicinity as well as for Advocacy Purposes, but does not intend to re-expose himself to the ongoing barriers to access and engage in a futile gesture of visiting the public accommodation known to Plaintiff to have numerous and continuing barriers to access.
- 18. Plaintiff travelled to Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property as a customer, encountered the barriers to access at Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property that are detailed in this Complaint, engaged those barriers, suffered legal harm and legal injury, and will continue to suffer such harm and injury as a result of the illegal barriers to access present at Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property.

COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF THE ADA AND ADAAG

- 19. On July 26, 1990, Congress enacted the Americans with Disabilities Act 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.
 - 20. Congress found, among other things, that:
 - (i) some 43,000,000 Americans have one or more physical or mental disabilities, and this number is increasing as the population as a whole is growing older;
 - (ii) historically, society has tended to isolate and segregate individuals with disabilities, and, despite some improvements, such forms of discrimination against individuals with disabilities continue to be a serious and pervasive social problem;
 - (iii) discrimination against individuals with disabilities persists in such critical areas as employment, housing public accommodations, education, transportation, communication, recreation, institutionalization, health

services, voting, and access to public services;

- (iv) individuals with disabilities continually encounter various forms of discrimination, including outright intentional exclusion, the discriminatory effects of architectural, transportation, and communication barriers, overprotective rules and policies, failure to make modifications to existing facilities and practices, exclusionary qualification standards and criteria, segregation, and relegation to lesser service, programs, activities, benefits, jobs, or other opportunities; and
- (v) the continuing existence of unfair and unnecessary discrimination and prejudice denies people with disabilities the opportunity to compete on an equal basis and to pursue those opportunities for which our free society is justifiably famous, and costs the United States billions of dollars in unnecessary expenses resulting from dependency and non-productivity.

42 U.S.C. § 12101(a)(1) - (3), (5) and (9).

- 21. Congress explicitly stated that the purpose of the ADA was to:
- (i) provide a clear and comprehensive national mandate for the elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities;
- (ii) provide a clear, strong, consistent, enforceable standards addressing discrimination against individuals with disabilities; and

* * * * *

(iv) invoke the sweep of congressional authority, including the power to enforce the fourteenth amendment and to regulate commerce, in order to address the major areas of discrimination faced day-to-day by people with disabilities.

42 U.S.C. § 12101(b)(1)(2) and (4).

- 22. The congressional legislation provided places of public accommodation one and a half years from the enactment of the ADA to implement its requirements.
- 23. The effective date of Title III of the ADA was January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993 if a defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). 42 U.S.C. \$ 12181; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).
 - 24. Iguanas Ranas Cantina is a public accommodation and service establishment.

- 25. The Property is a public accommodation and service establishment.
- 26. Pursuant to the mandates of 42 U.S.C. § 12134(a), on July 26, 1991, the Department of Justice and Office of Attorney General promulgated federal regulations to implement the requirements of the ADA. 28 C.F.R. Part 36.
- 27. Public accommodations were required to conform to these regulations by January 26, 1992 (or by January 26, 1993 if a defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000 or less). 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).
- 28. Iguanas Ranas Cantina must be, but is not, in compliance with the ADA and ADAAG.
 - 29. The Property must be, but is not, in compliance with the ADA and ADAAG.
- 30. Plaintiff has attempted to, and has to the extent possible, accessed Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property in his capacity as a customer of Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property as well as an independent advocate for the disabled, but could not fully do so because of his disabilities resulting from the physical barriers to access, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property that preclude and/or limit his access to Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property and/or the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein, including those barriers, conditions and ADA violations more specifically set forth in this Complaint.
- 31. Plaintiff intends to visit Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property again in the very near future as a customer and as an independent advocate for the disabled, in order to utilize all of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations commonly offered at Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property, but will be unable to fully do so because of his disability and the physical barriers to access, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that

exist at Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property that preclude and/or limit his access to Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property and/or the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein, including those barriers, conditions and ADA violations more specifically set forth in this Complaint.

- 32. Defendants, RANGEL KITCHEN, INC., d/b/a IGUANAS RANAS and MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P., have discriminated against Plaintiff (and others with disabilities) by denying his access to, and full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations of Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property, as prohibited by, and by failing to remove architectural barriers as required by, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).
- 33. Defendants, RANGEL KITCHEN, INC., d/b/a IGUANAS RANAS and MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P., will continue to discriminate against Plaintiff and others with disabilities unless and until Defendants, RANGEL KITCHEN, INC., d/b/a IGUANAS RANAS and MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P., are compelled to remove all physical barriers that exist at Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property, including those specifically set forth herein, and make Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property accessible to and usable by Plaintiff and other persons with disabilities.
- 34. A specific list of unlawful physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations which Plaintiff experienced and/or observed that precluded and/or limited Plaintiff's access to Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property and the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and accommodations of Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property include, but are not limited to:

(a) ACCESSIBLE ELEMENTS:

- (i) The ramp near Unit 180 has side flares with a slope in excess of 1:10 in violation of Section 406.3 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. This violation made it dangerous and difficult for Plaintiff to access the units of the Property.
- (ii) The access aisle to the accessible parking spaces near Iguana Ranas is not level due to the presence of a ramp in the access aisle in violation of Section 502.4 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. This violation made it dangerous and difficult for Plaintiff to exit and enter their vehicle while parked at the Property.
- (iii) Iguana Ranas lacks at least 5% (five percent) of the exterior dining surfaces provided for consumption of food or drink that comply with Section 902.2 of the 2010 ADAAG standards, requiring appropriate knee and toe clearance complying with Section 306 of the 2010 ADAAG standards, positioned for a forward approach, in violation of section 226.1 of the 2010 ADAAG standards.
- (iv) There is a doorway threshold at Iguana Ranas with a vertical rise in excess of 1/2" and does not contain a bevel with a maximum slope of 1:2 in violation of Section 404.2.5 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. This violation made it dangerous and difficult for Plaintiff to access Iguana Ranas.
- (v) The interior of Iguana Ranas has a bar lacking any portion of the counter that has a maximum height of 34 inches from the finished floor in violation of Section 902.3 of the 2010 ADAAG standards, all portions of the bar exceed 34 inches in height from the finished floor. This violation made it difficult for Plaintiff to properly transact business at Iguana Ranas.

- (vi) There is a doorway threshold to the outside eating area doorway at Iguana Ranas with a vertical rise in excess of 1/2" and does not contain a bevel with a maximum slope of 1:2 in violation of Section 404.2.5 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. This violation made it dangerous and difficult for Plaintiff to access public features of Iguana Ranas.
- (vii) The interior of the Facility has walking surfaces lacking a 36 inch clear width in violation of Section 403.5.1 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. This violation made it difficult for Plaintiff to properly utilize public features at the Facility.
- (viii) Due to a placement of a bench and a wall partition, the interior of the Wong's Chef Asian Cuisine has walking surfaces leading to the restroom lacking a 36 inch clear width in violation of Section 403.5.1 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. This violation made it difficult for Plaintiff to properly utilize public features at Wong's Chef.
- (ix) The Property lacks an accessible route from the sidewalk to the accessible entrance in violation of Section 206.2.1 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. This violation made it difficult for Plaintiff to access the units of the Property.
- (x) Defendants fail to adhere to a policy, practice and procedure to ensure that all facilities are readily accessible to and usable by disabled individuals.

IGUANA RANAS RESTROOMS

(i) The restrooms lack signage in compliance with Sections 216.8 and 703 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. This made it difficult for Plaintiff to locate accessible restroom facilities.

WONG'S CHEF RESTROOMS

- (i) The door of the restroom entrance lacks a proper minimum maneuvering clearance in violation of Section 404.2.4 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. This made it difficult for Plaintiff to safely utilize the restroom facilities.
- (ii) The restrooms lack proper door hardware in violation of Section 404.2.7 of the 2010 ADAAG standards in that it requires twisting of the wrist to lock the door. This made it difficult for Plaintiff to utilize the restroom facilities.
- (iii) The mirror in the bathrooms exceeds the maximum height permitted by Section 603.3 of the 2010 ADAAG standards. This violation made it difficult for the Plaintiff to properly utilize public features of the restroom.
- 35. The violations enumerated above may not be a complete list of the barriers, conditions or violations encountered by Plaintiff and/or which exist at Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property.
- 36. Plaintiff requires an inspection of Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property in order to determine all of the discriminatory conditions present at Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property in violation of the ADA.
- 37. The removal of the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations alleged herein is readily achievable and can be accomplished and carried out without significant difficulty or expense. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv); 42 U.S.C. § 12181(9); 28 C.F.R. § 36.304.
- 38. All of the violations alleged herein are readily achievable to modify to bring Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property into compliance with the ADA.
- 39. Upon information and good faith belief, the removal of the physical barriers and dangerous conditions present at Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property is readily achievable

because the nature and cost of the modifications are relatively low.

- 40. Upon information and good faith belief, the removal of the physical barriers and dangerous conditions present at Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property is readily achievable because Defendants, RANGEL KITCHEN, INC., d/b/a IGUANAS RANAS and MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P., have the financial resources to make the necessary modifications.
- 41. Upon information and good faith belief, Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property have been altered since 2010.
- 42. In instances where the 2010 ADAAG standards do not apply, the 1991 ADAAG standards apply, and all of the alleged violations set forth herein can be modified to comply with the 1991 ADAAG standards.
- 43. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law, is suffering irreparable harm, and reasonably anticipates that he will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless and until Defendants, RANGEL KITCHEN, INC., d/b/a IGUANAS RANAS and MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P., are required to remove the physical barriers, dangerous conditions and ADA violations that exist at Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property, including those alleged herein.
 - 44. Plaintiff's requested relief serves the public interest.
- 45. The benefit to Plaintiff and the public of the relief outweighs any resulting detriment to Defendants, RANGEL KITCHEN, INC., d/b/a IGUANAS RANAS and MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P.
- 46. Plaintiff's counsel is entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees and costs of litigation from Defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 12188 and 12205.
- 47. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a), this Court is provided authority to grant injunctive relief to Plaintiff, including the issuance of an Order directing Defendants, RANGEL

KITCHEN, INC., d/b/a IGUANAS RANAS and MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P., to modify

Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property to the extent required by the ADA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays as follows:

(a) That the Court find RANGEL KITCHEN, INC., d/b/a IGUANAS RANAS in

violation of the ADA and ADAAG;

(b) That the Court find MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P. in violation of the ADA and

ADAAG;

(c) That the Court issue a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants, RANGEL

KITCHEN, INC., d/b/a IGUANAS RANAS and MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P.,

from continuing their discriminatory practices;

(d) That the Court issue an Order requiring Defendants, RANGEL KITCHEN, INC.,

d/b/a IGUANAS RANAS and MA/DA PROPERTIES, L.P., to (i) remove the

physical barriers to access and (ii) alter Iguanas Ranas Cantina and the Property to

make it readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities to the

extent required by the ADA;

(e) That the Court award Plaintiff his reasonable attorneys' fees, litigation expenses

and costs; and

(f) That the Court grant such further relief as deemed just and equitable in light of the

circumstances.

Dated: February 22, 2019.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Douglas S. Schapiro

Douglas S. Schapiro, Esq.

Attorney-in-Charge for Plaintiff

Southern District of Texas ID No. 3182479

12

The Schapiro Law Group, P.L 7301-A W. Palmetto Park Rd., #100A Boca Raton, FL 33433 Email: schapiro@schapirolawgroup.com